

# India's Repeated Delays in SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Norms

Posted at: 07/02/2025

## India's Repeated Delays in SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Norms: A Never-Ending Saga

---

### Understanding the Issue: Why Do SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Matter?

- **Sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)** is a harmful gas released from coal-based power plants.
  - It causes **air pollution**, leading to **breathing problems**, **lung diseases**, and **acid rain**.
  - To control this pollution, the **Indian government introduced new environmental rules in 2015**, setting limits on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from thermal power plants.
  - However, these rules have faced **constant delays** in enforcement, allowing power plants to continue polluting for nearly a **decade without consequences**.
- 

### Latest Postponement: What Happened in December 2024?

- On **December 30, 2024**, India's Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) **extended the deadline** for power plants to follow SO<sub>2</sub> norms by **three more years**—now set for **December 31, 2027**.
  - This decision was made **without any official reason**, even though the **existing deadline for 20 GW of power plants** (located in densely populated areas) was just **one day away**—on **December 31, 2024**.
  - This is the **fourth time the deadline has been pushed**, raising concerns about whether the norms will ever be enforced.
- 

### A Brief History of SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Norms in India

#### 1. Initial Rules (2015)

- The MoEFCC introduced **new environmental norms** for thermal power plants in **December 2015**.
- These rules included:
  - **Stronger limits on particulate matter emissions** (small particles that cause air pollution).

- **SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for the first time** to reduce pollution.
- The norms were designed to match standards in **Australia, China, and the U.S.**
- Power plants were originally required to comply by **December 2017**.

## 2. The Debate Over Compliance

- **Indian coal has lower sulphur content**, which should have made it **easier** for power plants to meet SO<sub>2</sub> norms.
- However, instead of focusing on **how to comply**, the debate shifted to **why compliance is difficult**, particularly:
  - The **high costs of installing Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD) systems**, which remove SO<sub>2</sub> from emissions.
  - The **long installation time required for FGDs**.
  - **Increased coal usage** due to FGD operations, leading to higher greenhouse gas emissions.

## 3. Conflicting Government Reports

- Various government agencies **complicated the debate** instead of ensuring compliance:
  - **Central Electricity Authority (CEA) Reports (2020 & 2021)** - Suggested that **SO<sub>2</sub> norms should be phased out till 2035** instead of being applied uniformly across the country.
  - **IIT Delhi Study (2022)** - Confirmed that **FGDs improve air quality** but recommended deadline extensions due to **high costs and supply chain issues**.
  - **NITI Aayog Study (2024)** - Argued that **SO<sub>2</sub> norms are not necessary for good air quality** and recommended focusing on **particulate matter emissions** instead.

## Impact of Repeated Delays

### 1. Confusing and Unfair Deadlines

- The **December 2024 notification** is the **fourth extension** of SO<sub>2</sub> emission deadlines.
- Different deadlines now apply to different pollutants and locations:
  - **Particulate matter emissions deadline: December 31, 2024** (some plants had to comply as early as 2022 and 2023).
  - **SO<sub>2</sub> emissions deadline: Pushed to December 31, 2027**.
- **Lack of enforcement** - There is **no public data** showing whether plants are following even the existing rules.

### 2. Consumers Are Paying for Unused Equipment

- Many power plants have **already ordered FGDs** to meet the older deadlines.
- **Electricity regulators have allowed power plants to pass FGD costs to consumers**—raising electricity bills.
- **Key concern:** Even after installing FGDs, power plants **may not operate them** because running FGDs **increases costs**, making them less competitive.
- **Result:**
  - **Consumers pay for equipment that might not even be used.**
  - **Residents near power plants continue to suffer from SO<sub>2</sub> pollution** for at least **three more years**.

### 3. Health and Environmental Damage

- **SO<sub>2</sub> pollution is deadly**, especially for people living near power plants.
  - It leads to:
    - **Breathing problems, asthma, and lung infections.**
    - **Acid rain**, which damages soil and water.
    - **Formation of toxic secondary pollutants** in the air.
- 

### Conclusion: A Never-Ending Delay with Serious Consequences

The repeated postponement of SO<sub>2</sub> emission rules shows a **failure of governance**. Instead of enforcing regulations, the government has allowed **years of debates, industry lobbying, and delays**, putting **public health at risk**.

At this rate, it is unclear if these pollution controls **will ever be fully implemented**. Meanwhile, **electricity consumers bear the financial burden**, and **millions of people continue to breathe polluted air**.

This situation is like **Birbal's khichdi**—a slow-cooking dish in an old Indian tale—except in this case, the **public pays the price, and the pollution remains**.



AKKA IAS ACADEMY  
www.akkaias.com