

Copyright vs. AI Innovation

Posted at: 26/04/2025

Copyright vs. AI Innovation: The Emerging Legal Battle

Context

In a significant legal development, **Ziff Davis**, a major publishing company, has sued **OpenAI** for **copyright infringement**, alleging that OpenAI's AI models used copyrighted material without authorization.

This case brings to light the growing friction between **rapid advancements in generative AI** technologies and **existing intellectual property laws**, particularly **copyright law**. Given the transformative impact of AI on creativity and ownership rights, this issue is increasingly important for **lawmakers, technology developers, and creators**, making it highly relevant for **UPSC/KPSC Civil Services Examination** under **Science and Technology, Polity, and Ethics** topics.

What is Copyright Law?

- **Copyright** is a **legal right** granted to creators of **literary, dramatic, musical, and artistic works**, as well as **producers of cinematograph films and sound recordings**.

Objective

- To **safeguard the creator's original expressions** from unauthorized use such as copying, adapting, or distributing.

Duration of Copyright

- In **India**, under the **Copyright Act, 1957**:
 - For **literary, dramatic, musical, and artistic works**:
→ **Author's lifetime + 60 years** from the year following their death.
 - For **cinematograph films, sound recordings, photographs**:
→ **60 years** from the end of the year of first publication.

Post-Ownership Period

- After expiration, the work enters the **public domain**, allowing **free public use**.

Exemptions

- Limited exceptions are provided for:
 - **Private use**
 - **Non-commercial use**
-

Previous Encounters of Copyright Law with Technology

- **Photocopying Machine:**
Fear that easy copying would undermine the creator's rights.
 - **Internet Boom:**
Widespread access to creative works online raised concerns about **bypassing legal permissions**.
-

Generative AI and Copyright Challenges

What is Generative AI?

- **Generative AI** creates **new content** — text, images, music, videos — based on patterns learned from **existing data**.
 - It **goes beyond traditional AI** which primarily focuses on analysis and prediction.
-

Copyright Issues with Generative AI

- **Training on Copyrighted Content:**

- AI models are trained on **massive datasets**, including copyrighted works, often **without authorization**.
 - **Replacement of Human Authors:**
 - AI is not just accessing but **replacing** human creativity.
 - **Loss of Creative Authenticity:**
 - Rise of AI-generated content risks **eroding human originality** in arts and literature.
 - **Unfair Competition:**
 - AI could produce **similar competing products, diverting users** from original creators.
 - **Infringement of Personality Rights:**
 - Using a **singer's voice** or **actor's likeness** without permission violates **publicity rights**.
 - Example:
 - **Amitabh Bachchan v. Rajat Nagi (2022)**: Legal protection against misuse of personality traits was granted.
-

Challenges in Enforcing Copyright Laws for AI-Generated Content

Key Challenges

- **Blurred Lines of Ownership:**
 - Confusion over who owns AI-generated work:
 - Human prompter, AI system, or developer?
- **Identifying Infringement:**
 - Difficult to trace infringement due to AI's **learning process** rather than direct copying.

- **Defining Originality:**

- Challenging to assess if AI-generated content is **sufficiently original** or **derivative**.

- **Determining Authorship:**

- No clear provisions for AI authorship in existing laws.
- **U.S. Standard:** Minimal human creativity sufficient.
- **EU Standard:** Requires **substantial human input**.

- **Scale of Use:**

- **Massive volume** of AI-generated content makes **large-scale monitoring and enforcement** difficult.
-

What Lies Ahead?

Necessary Steps for the Future

- **Updating Copyright Laws:**

- Laws must evolve to address **AI-generated content** and **AI training practices**.

- **Monitoring Training Datasets:**

- Implement mechanisms to **regulate datasets** used in AI model training.

- **Ensuring Developer Compliance:**

- AI developers must ensure their **training data acquisition** follows legal norms.

- **Reclassifying Data Usage:**

- Data laws must classify different types of usage, distinguishing between **training**,

public access, and commercial exploitation.

- **Protecting Original Creators:**

- A balance must be struck between **technological innovation** and **fair recognition and compensation** for original creators.

Conclusion

Generative AI is reshaping the creative economy, democratizing content creation. However, without robust legal safeguards, it risks **displacing human creativity** and **undermining intellectual property rights**.

Policymakers, industry stakeholders, and legal experts must work together to **modernize copyright frameworks**, ensuring that innovation progresses **without sacrificing** the rights and recognition of original creators.



AKKA IAS ACADEMY
www.akkaias.com