

# AI vs Copyright

Posted at: 03/07/2025

## AI vs Copyright: Legal Clarity or Creative Crisis?

#### **Context:**

With the rapid growth of **generative AI models** like ChatGPT, Claude, and LLaMA, the legal question of whether using **copyrighted content for AI training** qualifies as infringement or "**fair use**" has become a global concern. In 2024–25, two major rulings by US courts provided crucial clarity on this issue, potentially shaping future global regulations—including in India.

## AI Training and Fair Use: Legal Issue

- Generative AI systems rely on **massive datasets**, including books, articles, and internet content, for training.
- Critics argue this involves **unauthorised copying** of copyrighted works.
- Tech companies defend their practice as "transformative" use, protected under the doctrine of fair use.
- **Key Question**: Does using copyrighted content to train AI models constitute **copyright theft**?

## Key Rulings in the US: A Legal Turning Point

#### Case 1: Writers vs Anthropic

- Filed in August 2024 by authors Andrea Bartz, Charles Graeber, and Kirk Wallace Johnson.
- Allegation: Anthropic used **pirated versions** of their books to train its Claude AI models.
- Writers claimed this harmed their livelihood by enabling free or cheap AI-generated

#### content.

#### **Court's Decision:**

- The Northern District of California ruled in favour of Anthropic.
- The court recognised the AI training as **fair use** under US copyright law.
- Judge emphasized that the AI's output was **transformative** and did not **replicate or replace** the original work.
- **Key Quote**: "Like any reader aspiring to be a writer, Anthropic's LLMs trained upon works... to create something different."

#### Case 2: Writers vs Meta

- Filed by 13 authors, accusing Meta of using their copyrighted books to train its **LLaMA** language models.
- Plaintiffs claimed the AI generated outputs that closely resembled their original content.

#### Court's Decision:

- The court ruled in **Meta's favour**, stating the authors **failed to show market harm**.
- Judge accepted the AI model's training as **transformative**, qualifying for fair use.
- However, the court noted the **need to explore compensation mechanisms** for original creators, especially as AI becomes commercially dominant.

#### **Broader Legal Landscape: Escalating Copyright Battles**

- **Anthropic** is facing a separate lawsuit by **music publishers** for training on copyrighted lyrics.
- OpenAI and Microsoft face a consolidated lawsuit combining 12 cases, including one from

#### The New York Times.

- **Ziff Davis** has filed a separate lawsuit against OpenAI.
- Visual artists and platforms have sued:
  - Stability AI, Midjourney, Runway AI, and Deviant Art
  - Getty Images accuses Stability AI of copying 12 million+ images without permission.

## **Indian Media's Legal Response**

- In 2024, Indian news agency **ANI filed a case against OpenAI**, alleging unauthorised use of Indian content.
- Major outlets like **The Indian Express**, **Hindustan Times**, and **NDTV** joined the protest through the **Digital News Publishers Association (DNPA)**.
- These actions mark the **beginning of domestic litigation** in India around AI and copyright laws.

## **Significance for Policy and UPSC Aspirants**

- These rulings **legally support AI training** on copyrighted content under fair use—especially when output is **transformative** and serves **public interest**.
- However, unresolved issues persist:
  - Protection and compensation for original content creators.
  - Ethical concerns over AI's use of **pirated datasets** like **Books3**.
  - Risks to **livelihoods** and the **creative economy**.

## **Conclusion**

The recent US court decisions mark a **milestone in AI regulation**, offering legal clarity in favour of tech companies under **fair use doctrine**. However, the broader debate on **ethical use**, **creator rights**, and **compensation** remains unsettled. As India gears up for **AI policy development**, these cases offer crucial legal and regulatory insights for lawmakers, creators, and aspirants alike.

